

Mendocino County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics

	2008	2009	2010
Operator identification numbers issued/amended ¹	239	104	185
Restricted material permits issued/amended ¹	16	11	19
Permits denied ¹	0	0	1
Multi-year permits ¹	47	0	0
Total permitted sites ^{1, 2}	566	0	566
Notices of intent (NOIs) reviewed ¹	17	7	11
NOIs assessed (Pre-application site inspections) ¹	5	6	4
NOIs denied ¹	0	0	0
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) ³	953,465	659,766	481,752
Number of agricultural applications ³	6,960	4,323	4,933
Number of non-agricultural applications ^{3,4}	35,670	23,872	28,938
Number of all inspections ¹	46	33	24
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution ^{5,6}	\$41,456	\$26,112	\$21,114
Pesticide Mill Assessment ⁵	\$97,202	\$98,954	\$101,581

Footnotes

¹ Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report (PRAMR)

² Some counties did not report any permitted sites.

³ Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


⁴ Analysis of data indicates some problems with reporting and accurately recording these figures.

⁵ Funding is on a fiscal year basis and is based on the prior year's workload.


⁶ Funding is on a fiscal year basis. This amount represents 36 percent of the full amount distributed by CDFA for all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement. The 36 percent represents the typical amount dedicated to pesticide use enforcement.

Mendocino County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary

**Percentage of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
During Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



**Number of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
For Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



Mendocino County Inspection Compliance

Inspection Type	Calendar Year 2008							Calendar Year 2009							Calendar Year 2010						
	Criteria Compliance							Criteria Compliance							Criteria Compliance						
	Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation		Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation		Number of Inspections	Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate	Inspections With a Violation	
Agricultural																					
Field Worker Safety	2	8	1	9	88.9%	1	50.0%	4	15	4	19	78.9%	3	25.0%	2	9	1	10	90.0%	1	50.0%
Pesticide Use - Application	10	102	7	109	93.6%	3	70.0%	6	61	2	63	96.8%	1	83.3%	1	4		4	100.0%		100.0%
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	5	66	4	70	94.3%	2	60.0%	2	30		30	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Commodity Fume	0							0							0						
Field Fumigation	1	27		27	100.0%		100.0%	1	24		24	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Records	18	246	10	256	96.1%	4	77.8%	5	85		85	100.0%		100.0%	4	65	1	66	98.5%	1	75.0%
Totals:	36	449	22	471	95.3%	10	72.2%	18	215	6	221	97.3%	4	77.8%	7	78	2	80	97.5%	2	71.4%
Structural																					
Fumigation	2	49		49	100.0%		100.0%	8	209		209	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Non-Fumigation Application	0							0							0						
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0							0							0						
Records	0							0							0						
Totals:	2	49		49	100.0%		100.0%	8	209		209	100.0%		100.0%	0						
Overall Totals:	38	498	22	520	95.8%	10	73.7%	26	424	6	430	98.6%	4	84.6%	7	78	2	80	97.5%	2	71.4%

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Prepared 7/28/2011

Mendocino County Closed Compliance Actions and Closed Enforcement Actions

	2008		2009		2010	
	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers
VIII. Compliance Actions						
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices		17		5		0
B. Cease and Desist Orders		0		0		0
C. Documented Compliance Interviews		0		0		0
Total:	19	17	7	5	0	0
IX. Enforcement Actions						
A. Administrative Action:						
1. Restricted Materials Permit		0		0		0
2. Private Applicator Certifications		0		0		0
3. County Registrations		0		0		0
4. Structural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
B. Judicial Actions:						
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)		0		0		0
2. Cases Submitted to DA		0		0		0
3. Civil Complaints Filed		0		0		0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed		0		0		0
C. Referrals to DPR		0		0		0
Total:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Compliance and Enforcement actions are not necessarily taken in the same fiscal year when the violation occurs. Additionally, some actions may be due to discovery of violations by means other than inspections such as through investigations.

Most Common Agricultural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
Handler Training	22	4	26	7	1	8	9	0	9	38	5	43	88.37
Equipment in Good Repair and Safe	4	4	8	8	1	9	1	0	1	13	5	18	72.22
Hazard Communication / Field Workers	11	2	13	6	2	8	4	0	4	21	4	25	84.00
Hazard Communication / Handler	13	2	15	3	0	3	3	0	3	19	2	21	90.48
Field Worker Training	11	2	13	8	0	8	4	0	4	23	2	25	92.00
Service Container Labeling	9	1	10	0	0	0	1	0	1	10	1	11	90.91
Emergency Medical Care Planned	11	1	12	4	0	4	2	0	2	17	1	18	94.44
Application Specific Information For Handler	13	1	14	3	0	3	2	0	2	18	1	19	94.74
Containers Secured / Attended	13	1	14	6	0	6	0	0	0	19	1	20	95.00
Field Work During Pesticide Application	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.00
Equipment Identified - PCB	2	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	4	75.00
Proper Pesticide Transport	3	1	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	5	1	6	83.33
Emergency Med Care Knowledge/Field Workers	2	0	2	4	0	4	1	1	2	7	1	8	87.50
Respiratory Protection	1	0	1	11	0	11	6	1	7	18	1	19	94.74
Field Worker Decontamination Facilities	2	0	2	3	1	4	2	0	2	7	1	8	87.50

Most Common Structural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
There were no structural inspection violations reported in this county between 2008 and 2010.													

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Values for some criteria can not be compared year to year due to revisions to the criteria and conversion to a new inspection program in 2010.